

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7 : A61K 31/00, 31/43, 31/48, 31/545	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/51588 (43) International Publication Date: 8 September 2000 (08.09.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/05464 (22) International Filing Date: 3 March 2000 (03.03.00) (30) Priority Data: 09/263,776 5 March 1999 (05.03.99) US (71) Applicant: AMBI INC. [US/US]; 4 Manhattan Road, Purchase, NY 10577-2197 (US). (72) Inventors: CLIMO, Michael; 1802 Stonecrest Court, Richmond, VA 23236 (US). MURPHY, Ellen; 185 Beach Street, Bronx, NY 10464 (US). ARCHER, Gordon; 1504 Hearthglow Lane, Richmond, VA 23233 (US). (74) Agent: KELBER, Steven, B.; Piper Marbury Rudnick & Wolfe LLP, 1200 Nineteenth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036-2412 (US).		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
(54) Title: COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR TREATMENT OF STAPHYLOCOCCAL INFECTION (57) Abstract Co-administration of a lysostaphin or other anti-staphylococcal agent which cleaves cross-links of peptidoglycans of staphylococci cell walls such as lysostaphin and an antibiotic effective against staphylococci due to antibiotic activity mediated by cell-wall activity is effective against staphylococcal infection, even staphylococci that may be resistant to one or other of lysostaphin or the cell-wall active antibiotic. Co-administration simultaneously suppresses the generation of antibiotic-resistant mutant strains. Effective cell-wall active antibiotics include β -lactams and glycopeptides.		

WEST

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR TREATMENT OF STAPHYLOCOCCAL INFECTION

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**Field of the Invention**

This invention pertains to a method of treating staphylococcal infection in mammals, including humans. The method involves the simultaneous administration of a lysostaphin or other agent which attacks the glycine-containing peptide cross-links of the cell wall peptidoglycan found in staphylococci and an antibiotic, the antibiotic properties of which are mediated by its ability to affect the cell wall of the target staphylococci. This combined administration is effective in treating the staphylococcal infection, and at the same time suppresses the formation of strains resistant to lysostaphin or other peptidoglycan active agent.

15

BACKGROUND OF THE PRIOR ART

Lysostaphin is a bacteriocin secreted by a staphylococcal strain isolated and originally named *Staphylococcus staphylolyticus* (now *S. simulans*). The production of lysostaphin is described in U.S. Patent 3,278,378. Lysostaphin is an endopeptidase which cleaves the polyglycine cross-links of the peptidoglycan found in the cell walls of staphylococci. U.S. Patents 3,398,056 and 3,594,284 describe improvements to culture medium and inoculation techniques for the production of lysostaphin.

The gene for lysostaphin from *S. simulans* has been sequenced and cloned, U.S. Patent 4,931,390. Lysostaphin for use as a laboratory reagent has been produced by fermentation of a non-pathogenic recombinant strain of *B. sphaericus*, from which it is readily purified. The cloning and sequencing of the lysostaphin gene permits the isolation
5 of variant enzymes that have properties similar to or different from those of wild type lysostaphin. One such altered enzyme, bearing a single amino acid change, has been characterized and shown to have potent anti-staphylococcal activity both *in vitro* and in an animal infection model. U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/120,030, filed July 21, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference. Other lysostaphin analogues, including naturally
10 occurring enzymes of this type have been established as potent agents capable of addressing difficult to treat bacterial diseases caused by staphylococcal infection. Other peptidases with related activity are known. Thus *lasA* protease and achromopeptidase, reported in Kessler et al., J. Biol. Chem. 268:7503-08 (1993) and Li et al., J. Biochem. 122:772-778(1997), respectively, have anti-staphylococcal activity based on their digestion of
15 glycine-containing cross-links in the peptidoglycan cell wall component. These agents may be used in this invention in place of lysostaphin.

The development of lysostaphin as an effective antibiotic to treat staphylococcal infection has been plagued, however, by a problem that is universal for antibiotic administration - the increasing development of antibiotic-resistant strains of mutant
20 staphylococci. Already, a wide variety of staphylococcal infections resistant to various antibiotics that were previously the treatment of choice, including methicillin (methicillin resistant *S. aureus* are referred to as MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant strains (referred to as VISA) have been identified. Resistance to a wide variety of other antibiotics, not

exhibited by sensitive staphylococci, has been noted as well. MRSA, as well as strains resistant to other antibiotics, are discussed at length in Stranden, et al., J. Bacteriology 179(1):9-16 (1997). Further difficulties are encountered in that MRSA tend to accumulate a variety of other resistances as well. Multiresistant MRSA are typically treated with vancomycin, The Staphylococci In Human Diseases, 158-174 (Grossley, et al., editors 1997). Vancomycin itself may be toxic. Additionally, vancomycin resistance has recently been detected in staphylococci infections.

The problem posed by the continuing development of antibiotic-resistant infectious agents, such as staphylococci, is more than the difficulty involved in treating any individual patient. Popular press, as well as scientific journals, have noted the alarming increase in the generation of resistant strains, due in part to indiscriminate use or over-use of antibiotics. Each time an individual is treated with an antibiotic, whether needlessly or reasonably, the chance that a strain resistant to that particular treatment will arise is increased. Resistant strains of staphylococci have become endemic in many hospitals and pose a life-threatening danger to patients already debilitated by other ailments who become infected after admission to those hospitals.

Numerous articles have noted the development of resistance to either lysostaphin or β -lactams, such as methicillin, and the relationship there between. Thus, DeHart, et al., Applied Environmental Microbiology 61, 1475-1479 (1995) noted the development of mutant *S. aureus* recombinant cells that were resistant to lysostaphin, but susceptible to methicillin. Similar phenomenon are reported by Zygmunt, et al., Can. J. Microbio. 13,845-852 (1966), Polak, et al., Diagn. Microbiol. Infet. Dis. 17:265-270 (1993) and Dickson, et al., Yale J. Bio. Med. 41:62-67 (1968). Each of these references, as well as

later reports such as Ehlert, J. Bacteriology, 179:7573-7576 (1997) note that staphylococci that develop resistance to lysostaphin, either spontaneously or through induced recombination, become susceptible to methicillin treatment, and vice-versa. In all of these references, the uniform suggestion is to follow a course of administration of lysostaphin, even a short one, with administration of methicillin.

U.S. Patent 5,760,026, commonly assigned herewith, employs a specific method for treating mastitis, by intramammary infusion of lysostaphin. The patent reports, Table ID and elsewhere, that a synergistic result is predicted when combining lysostaphin and a β -lactam to treat mastitis, based on an *in vitro* assay. The bovine mastitis model is not predictive of *in vivo* administration of antibiotics, and the synergistic effects reported in U.S. Patent 5,760,026 have not been substantiated in an environment or model that would be reflective of *in vivo* administration to a mammal such as a human.

Those of skill in the art will be aware that there are a wide variety of staphylococcal strains. Many are resistant to conventional antibiotics, unlike sensitive strains. *S. aureus* strains are recognized as highly virulent and the most common single cause of serious systemic infections. Coagulase-negative staphylococcal species, although generally less invasive than *S. aureus*, are now responsible for a significant incidence of infections; particularly among debilitated or immunocompromised patients. As an example of such infection, one may point to endocarditis consequent to heart valve replacement. This is but one of a variety of intractable staphylococcal infections which are increasing due to the widespread use of antibiotics.

Accordingly, it remains an object of those of ordinary skill in the art to develop a method whereby even resistant staphylococcal infections in mammals, including humans,

may be effectively treated by the administration of antibiotics. Desirably, this method is developed so as suppress the formation of strains resistant to the antibiotics used.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The above goals, and others made clear by the discussions set forth below, are
5 achieved by the simultaneous administration of an anti-staphylococcal agent, such as
lysostaphin or other agent whose activity is mediated by cleavage of glycine-containing
cross-links in the staphylococcal cell wall peptidoglycan and an antibiotic or antimicrobial
agent whose activity is mediated by its ability to affect the cell wall of staphylococci.
These cell-wall active agents include β -lactams and glycopeptides. Preferably, the cell-
10 wall active antibiotic is a β -lactam.

There is no evidence of any synergistic effect achieved through the simultaneous
administration of an anti-staphylococcal agent whose activity is mediated by cleavage of
glycine-containing cross-links and a cell-wall active antibiotic in a model, *in vitro* or *in*
vivo, that is predictive of benefit for *in vivo* administration of antibiotics in a mammal.
15 Indeed, those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that for resistant staphylococci, such
as MRSA, the administration of methicillin is not therapeutically effective in any amount.
Surprisingly, Applicants have discovered that the combined administration of an anti-
staphylococcal agent whose activity is mediated by cleavage of glycine-containing cross-
links such as lysostaphin and the cell-wall active antibiotic not only effectively treats the
20 infection, but suppresses the formation of staphylococci having resistance to the anti-
staphylococcal agent whose activity is mediated by cleavage of glycine-containing cross-
links.

While Applicants do not wish to be bound by this explanation, it appears that the spontaneous mutation commonly effective in conferring lysostaphin resistance in staphylococci renders the same highly susceptible to a cell-wall active antibiotic, such as methicillin. This is true even where the organism starts out as methicillin resistant.

5 Simultaneous administration of both appears to be uniformly effective in simultaneously eradicating the infection and suppressing the generation of new resistant strains. Specifically, anti-staphylococcal agents like lysostaphin cleave glycine-containing cross-links. The mutation conferring resistance to this attack renders previously resistant strains sensitive to cell wall active antibiotics.

10

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

This invention involves the administration of a pharmaceutical composition effective in the treatment of staphylococcal infection, which composition comprises at least two active agents, one an agent like lysostaphin which cleaves the glycine-containing cross-links of the cell wall peptidoglycans of staphylococci, the other a cell-wall active antibiotic. By
15 lysostaphin it is intended to refer herein to any enzyme, including lysostaphin wild type, a mutant or variant, or any recombinant or related enzyme that retains proteolytic activity against glycine-containing cross-links in the cell wall peptidoglycan of staphylococci. Variants may be generated by post-translational processing of the protein(either by enzymes present in a producer strain or by means of enzymes or reagents
20 introduced at any stage of the process) or by mutation of the structural gene. Mutations may include site-deletion, insertion, domain removal and replacement mutations. They may be recombinantly expressed, or otherwise. Other anti-staphylococcal active agents

acting by cleavage of the glycine-containing peptidoglycan cross-links include *lasA* protease and achromopeptidase. Such anti-staphylococcal agents which affect the peptidoglycan cross-links are embraced by the invention, but exemplified herein by reference to lysostaphin.

5 Cell-wall active antibiotics include β -lactams and glycopeptides. β -lactams are preferred. Suitable β -lactams include, but are not limited to, penicillins, such as penicillin, nafcillin, oxacillin, methicillin, amoxicillin and cloxacillin. Other β -lactams include cephalosporins and carbapenems. Representative cephalosporins include cephalothin, cefazolin, cefamandole, ceftazidime and others. Suitable carbapenems include imipenem
10 and meropenem.

Suitable glycopeptides include vancomycin, teicoplanin and ramoplanin.

These two agents can be combined with further agents, adjuvants and the like, but are effectively administered in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. Administration is typically systemic, and may be intravenous (IV), intramuscular (IM), subcutaneous (SC),
15 intraperitoneal (IP), intrathecal or topical. No synergistic effect of combining lysostaphin and a β -lactam or glycopeptide or cell-wall active antibiotic has been noted in a model predictive of *in vivo* mammalian administration. Accordingly, each agent of the effective combination must be administered in a therapeutically effective amount. It is to be noted, in this regard, that the amount to be administered is that which is therapeutically effective
20 when the lysostaphin and cell-wall active agent are administered together. Those of skill in the art will of course recognize that there is no therapeutically effective amount for, e.g., methicillin if the staphylococcal infection is an MRSA infection. Nonetheless, administration of therapeutic amounts of methicillin as determined against non-MRSA,

combined with an amount of lysostaphin effective against staphylococci that are not lysostaphin-resistant will effectively treat staphylococcal infections even where the infection is resistant to one or other antibiotic. Accordingly, applicants have referred herein to "therapeutically effective amounts" to mean amounts effective to therapeutically treat sensitive *S. aureus* infection. This simultaneous administration, as opposed to sequential administration typified by the prior art, also surprisingly results in the suppression of strains resistant against either antibiotic, or their combination.

Any of a wide variety of pharmaceutically acceptable carriers and diluents, typically buffered, may be used. Appropriate pharmaceutical carriers are known to those of skill in the art. The formulations of this invention comprise a therapeutic amount of lysostaphin and a therapeutic amount of a cell-wall active antibiotic, such that when co-administered, the staphylococcal infection, either *S. aureus* or coagulase negative staphylococci, is treated, while the generation of resistant strains is suppressed. Other active agents that do not interfere with the activity of the two antibiotics may be co-administered.

Therapeutic values will range substantially given the nature of the staphylococcal infection, the individual, and the antibiotic being used in conjunction with lysostaphin. Representative values for anti-staphylococcal active agents such as lysostaphin, range from approximately 15-150 mg/kg body weight/day for human administration, with a preferred range of 25-100 mg/kg/day. Values for β -lactams based on nafcillin range from 50-250 mg/kg/day, with a preferred range of 100-200 mg/kg/day and glycopeptides like vancomycin are administered over a range of 10-75 mg/kg/day, with a preferred range of 15-50 mg/kg/day.

The administration course is not substantially different from that currently administered in single antibiotic treatments, and can range from 7-28 days, although typically, courses of 7-21 days are employed, and effective in treating a wide variety of staphylococcal infections.

5

EXAMPLES

To compare the development of resistant strains, growth curves for three methicillin resistant staphylococcal strains were obtained for *in vitro* growth in Mueller Hinton Broth.

Growth curves were completed in Mueller Hinton Broth (50 ml) in glass erlenmeyer flasks. Flasks were inoculated with 100 μ l of an overnight growth adjusted to 0.5 Macfarland to yield a starting concentration of approximately 10^5 - 10^6 CFU/ml. Growth curves were done in the presence of lysostaphin, lysostaphin and oxacillin (1 μ g/ml) or no antibiotics (controls). Absorbance at OD 600 was recorded at 0, 2, 4, 6 and 24 hours. At 24 hours flasks were plated on MHA, MHA with lysostaphin (6 μ g/ml) and MHA with oxacillin (6 μ g/ml) in order to screen for resistant mutants. Three methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains were tested: 272855, 450M and Mu3.

15

Growth following 24 hour incubation with lysostaphin (0.0625 μ g/ml), lysostaphin (0.0625 μ g/ml) and oxacillin (1 μ g/ml), and no antibiotics, was recorded.

The data generated led to the following conclusions:

1. The addition of oxacillin to lysostaphin led to significant suppression of growth for all three strains.

20

2. The presence of oxacillin suppressed the expression of lysostaphin resistance among all three strains.

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the claimed invention, certain experiments were conducted. Checkerboard susceptibility testing was conducted to determine whether simultaneous administration of lysostaphin and oxacillin (a β -lactam) would be effective in suppressing the development of resistance. Oxacillin concentrations varied between 0.0156 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ and 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Lysostaphin concentrations varied between 0.00048 and 0.9 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Four strains were tested for evidence of synergy between lysostaphin and oxacillin; 27619, Col, 27227 and VA348. There was no evidence of synergy or antagonism over the concentration range tested. The MIC of lysostaphin was unchanged in the presence of oxacillin in concentrations up to 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for all strains tested. The overnight growth of strains in the presence of lysostaphin and oxacillin was examined. Four strains were grown overnight in drug free media (MHB), MHB with 0.1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of lysostaphin, MHB with lysostaphin 0.1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ and oxacillin 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, and MHB with oxacillin 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. The four strains tested included 450M, Col, and their lysostaphin resistant mutants 450 M lyso and Col lyso. The results are reflected in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Isolates	Growth in the presence of			
	MHB	lysostaphin 0.1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$	oxacillin $\mu\text{g/ml}$	Lyso + oxacillin
450M	+	+	+	-
450M lyso	+	+	-	-
Col	+	+	+	-
Col lyso	+	+	-	-

The same unpredicted result has been demonstrated through *in vivo* experiments based on the widely accepted rabbit model of aortic valve endocarditis, predictive of *in vivo*

administration to humans. When administered to staphylococcal infected rabbits at low doses (1 mg/kg bid, as compared with a minimum value of 5 mg/kg tid for sterilization) lysostaphin, as representative of anti-staphylococcal agents acting by cleavage of the glycine-containing cross-links, resulted in recovery of a number of resistant colonies, with high counts in vegetations and kidneys, while the same dosage together with nafcillin (a β -lactam) gave sterile kidneys, some sterile vegetations, and no resistant strains recovered. The simultaneous treatment of staphylococcal infection with suppression of resistant strain formation is an exciting and widely useful invention nowhere predicted in the art. This invention offers the possibility of treating staphylococcal infections while suppressing the generation of strains resistant to any or all active agents administered.

The inventive compositions and methods of this application have been disclosed generically, and by reference to specific example, examples are not intended to be limiting unless so indicated, and variations will occur to those of ordinary skill in the art without the exercise of inventive faculty. In particular, variations in the identity of the cell-wall active antibiotic to be co-administered with an anti-staphylococcal agent acting by cleavage of the glycine-containing cross-links, as well as various recombinant and mutant variants thereof, carriers and concentrations will occur to those of skill in the art without the exercise of inventive faculty, and remain within the scope of the invention, unless specifically excluded by the claims set forth below.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method of treating a staphylococcal infection in a mammal in need of same, comprising administering an antimicrobial agent thereto while suppressing the formation of staphylococci mutant strains resistant to said antimicrobial agent, said method comprising
5 simultaneously administering an amount of an anti-staphylococcal agent whose activity is mediated by cleavage of the glycine-containing cross-links of peptidoglycans of the cell wall of staphylococci (peptidoglycan active agent) and an amount of another antibiotic effective against sensitive staphylococci, wherein said antibiotic activity is mediated by cell-wall activity (cell wall active antibiotic), whereby the formation of staphylococci mutant strains
10 resistant to said peptidoglycan active agent is suppressed, wherein said amount of peptidoglycan active agent and said amount of said cell-wall active antibiotic are each individually sufficient to be therapeutically effective against sensitive staphylococci, when co-administered.

2. The method of Claim 1, wherein said peptidoglycan active agent is lysostaphin

15 3. The method of Claim 1, wherein said administration is achieved through any one or more of intravenous (IV), intramuscular (IM), subcutaneous (SC), intraperitoneal (IP), intrathecal or topical administration.

4. The method of Claim 3, wherein said administration is SC, IP, intrathecal or topical.

20 5. The method of Claim 3, wherein said administration is either IV or IM.

6. The method of Claim 1, wherein said cell wall active antibiotic is a β -lactam or a glycopeptide.

7. The method of Claim 6, wherein said cell-wall active antibiotic is a β -lactam.

8. The method of Claim 7, wherein said β -lactam is selected from the group consisting of a penicillin, a cephalosporin and a carbapenem.

9. The method of Claim 8, wherein said β -lactam is a penicillin.

10. The method of Claim 1, wherein said staphylococcal infection is mediated by at least one *S. aureus* microorganism.

11. The method of Claim 1, wherein said staphylococcal infection is mediated by at least one coagulase-negative staphylococcal microorganism.

12. A composition effective in the treatment of staphylococcal infection in a mammal, comprising, as active agents, an anti-staphylococcal agent whose activity is mediated by cleavage of the glycine-containing cross-links of peptidoglycans of the cell wall of staphylococci (a peptidoglycan active agent) and an amount of another antibiotic effective against sensitive staphylococci, wherein said antibiotic activity is mediated by cell wall activity (a cell wall active antibiotic), further comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, wherein each of said peptidoglycan active agent and cell-wall active antibiotic are individually present in amounts which are therapeutically effective in treating a sensitive staphylococcal infection.

13. The composition of Claim 12, wherein said anti-staphylococcal peptidoglycan active agent is lysostaphin.

14. The composition of Claim 12, wherein said cell-wall active antibiotic is a β -lactam or a glycopeptide.

15. The composition of Claim 14, wherein said cell-wall active antibiotic is a β -lactam.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US00/03464

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER														
IPC(7) : A61 K 31/00, 31/43, 31/48, 31/545 US CL : 514/02, 06, 11, 12, 192, 200 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC														
B. FIELDS SEARCHED														
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 514/02, 06, 11, 12, 192, 200														
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched														
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)														
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT														
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.												
X	US 5,760,026 A (BLACKBURN et al.) 02 June 1998, see claim 4 and Table ID.	1-17												
X	US 5,858,962 A (BLACKBURN et al.) 12 January 1999, see claims 1,11, Table ID	1-17												
X	POLAK et al. In vitro activity of recombinant lysostaphin-antibiotic combinations toward methicillin-resistant staphylococcus areas. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis., 1993, Vol. 17, pages 265-270, see abstract and tables 3,4.	1-17												
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.														
<table border="0"> <tr> <td>* Special categories of cited documents:</td> <td>*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>*Z* document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Z* document member of the same patent family	*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention													
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone													
E earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art													
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Z* document member of the same patent family													
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means														
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed														
Date of the actual completion of the international search 29 MAY 2000		Date of mailing of the international search report 06 JUL 2000												
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Authorized Officer MICHAEL BORTIN Telephone No. (703) 308-0196												

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)*

WEST